

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,856		06/27/2003	Benjamin J. Bottcher	BSCU-011/01US223C1	8238
22903	7590	12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
		WARD LLP	SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER				3738	
RESTON, VA 20190-5061				DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/608,856	BOTTCHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bruce E Snow	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 D	ecember 2004.						
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims	·						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-41 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-37,42 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/24/03</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I and species 4 in the reply filed on December 03, 2004 is acknowledged. It is noted that species 4 and 5 are the same and the election of species between the two is hereby withdrawn. Claims 38-41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 11/24/04, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

References A1-A359 have been considered, however, no copy of the remaining references was provided.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-37 and 42-43 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/032,712. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: Both applications claim a stent comprising a first section, second section, and third section, wherein the third section is a co-extrusion of the materials used in the first and second sections. The current claims are merely broader than those in 10/032,712.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 20-37 and 42-43 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,202. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: Both the current claims and those of said patent claim a stent comprising a first section, second section, and third section, wherein the third section is a co-extrusion of the materials used in the first and second sections. The current claims are merely broader than the patented claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,856

Art Unit: 3738

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20, 23, 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Balbierz et al (5,964,744).

Balbierz et al teaches a medical stent comprising:

a first section comprising a first material, defining a lumen, and comprising a first coil 20 completing more than one revolution, wherein the first coil revolves about and is coaxial with an axis and wherein a distance from a first point to the axis, the first point at the center of a first cross-section of the first coil and on a line normal to the axis, is less than a distance from a second point to the axis, the second point at the center of a second cross-section of the first coil and on a line normal to the axis, the first point being closer to an origin of the first coil than the second point (Note U.S. Patent 5,599,291, which is incorporated by reference teaches the retension means can be any desired shape which will provide adequate anchoring including a helical curl which fulfills the claimed configuration; see at least column 5, lines 21 et seq.);

a second section comprising a second material, defining a lumen, and comprising a second coil 24 completing at least one revolution; and

a third section defining a lumen and located between the first and second sections and adjacent the origin of the first coil, at least a portion of the third section comprising a co-extrusion of the first and second materials.

Regarding the first and second materials and their co-extrusion, Balbierz et al teaches the entire stent can be formed of a substrate layer and a coating which can be

Art Unit: 3738

co-extruded therewith. See at least column 11, lines 4 et seq. The coating can be interpreted as the first material and the substrate layer can be interpreted as the second material, or vice versa. Additionally, the first and second material can be interpreted as being the same material.

Regarding at least claims 26-27, see 12:1 et seq. of 5,599,291.

Regarding the "suture", see element 33 of 5,599,291.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21, 22, 24, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balbierz et al (5,964,744) in view of Macaluso, Jr. (5,141,502).

Balbierz et al teaches the stent as described above, however, is unclear if the second coil is generally perpendicular to the first coil or the third section generally extends along the axis. Macaluso, Jr. teaches a perpendicular configuration as shown in figure 7. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the a relative perpendicular coil configuration of Macaluso, Jr. with the stent of Balbierz et al which gives better achorage on a the coil which has its axis parallel to the third section.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,856

Art Unit: 3738

Lacking any criticality in the specification, the use of EVA produces no benefit over the materials taught by Balbierz et al or Macaluso, Jr. and is considered an obvious matter of design choice.

Claim 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balbierz et al (5,964,744) and Macaluso, Jr. (5,141,502) and further in view of Perkins et al (5,681,274).

Balbierz et al and Macalcus Jr. teach the stent as described above, however, is unclear if the first coil can be planar spiral shape. Perkins et al teaches this retension shape. Balbierz et al teaches the retension means can be any desired shape which will provide adequate anchoring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the a planar spiral coil configuration of Perkins with the stent of Balbierz et and Macaluso Jr. because it is known in the art and would provide adequate anchoring.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,856 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW